UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/709,437	05/05/2004	Howard Mark Garon		3436
	7590 12/30/200 NOLOGIES, INC.	EXAMINER		
3819 GLEN EA	GLES DRIVE	NGUYEN, KHAI MINH		
SILVER SPRING, MD 20906			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/30/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/709,437	GARON, HOWARD MARK					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	KHAI M. NGUYEN	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Au	iaust 2007.						
· <u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
<i>i</i>	/ <del></del>						
,	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
	<u> </u>						
	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	m nom consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the E	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te					

Application/Control Number: 10/709,437 Page 2

Art Unit: 2617

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C.103(a) as being unpatentable over Zerphy et al. (U.S.Pub-20050156810) in view of Baker, Jr. (U.S.Pat-7058693).

Regarding claim 1, Zerphy teaches a method for the asynchronous and synchronous direct (wired) command and control of multiple and spatially disparate devices (fig.1) via the internet using single or multiple internet servers to facilitate that command and control (fig.5, [0029] item 510 communicates with a remote computer over a network. The network can be any data communications network including a combination of networks including the Internet), wherein the system constituents minimally comprise

at least one command monitor unit ([0029] remote computer) capable of connecting to the internet ([0029] communicates (internet) with a item 510 of controller) a controller interface (item 510) also capable of connecting to the internet ([0029]

lines 20-25), and

the object or device (fig.1, items 102, 104, ...108) to be commanded and/or monitored ([0028]).

Zerphy fails to specifically disclose at least one internet server.

However, Baker teaches at least one internet server (fig.1, item 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to having one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Baker to Zerphy to allow the user to edit programs controlling the operation of the controller system.

Regarding claim 2, Zerphy teaches a method for the asynchronous and synchronous wireless command and control of multiple and spatially disparate devices (fig.1) via the internet using single or multiple internet servers to facilitate that command and control (fig.5, [0029] item 510 communicates with a remote computer over a network. The network can be any data communications network including a combination of networks including the Internet), wherein the system constituents minimally comprise

at least one command monitor unit ([0029] remote computer) capable of connecting to the internet ([0029] communicates (internet) with a item 510 of controller)

a controller interface (item 510) also capable of connecting to the internet ([0029] lines 20-25), and

the object or device (fig.1, items 102, 104, ...108) to be commanded and/or monitored ([0028]).

Zerphy fails to specifically disclose at least one internet server.

However, Baker teaches at least one internet server (fig.1, item 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to having one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Baker to Zerphy to allow the user to edit programs controlling the operation of the controller system.

Regarding claim 3, Zerphy teaches a method for optimizing the forward and reverse communications paths, modalities and protocols between internet server and target device (fig.5, [0029] item 510 communicates with a remote computer over a network. The network can be any data communications network including a combination of networks including the Internet, corporate intranets), wherein the system constituents minimally comprise

at least one command monitor unit ([0029] remote computer) capable of connecting to the internet ([0029] communicates (internet) with a item 510 of controller) a controller interface (item 510) also capable of connecting to the internet ([0029] lines 20-25), and

the object or device (fig.1, items 102, 104, ...108) to be commanded and/or monitored ([0028]).

Zerphy fails to specifically disclose at least one internet server.

However, Baker teaches at least one internet server (fig.1, item 14).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to having one ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to apply the teaching of Baker to Zerphy to allow the user to edit programs controlling the operation of the controller system.

Regarding claim 4, Zerphy and Baker further teach a method as in any one of claims 1,2, and 3, in which the command and control (see Zerphy, fig.1) of Dynamic Message Signs (DMS) (see Zerphy, [0010]), Changeable Message Signs (CMS) and Variable Message Signs (VMS), either fixed, portable or mobile may be realized (Baker, item 8).

Regarding claim 5 is rejected with the same reasons set forth in claim 4.

## Conclusion

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KHAI M. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571)272-7923. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent P. Harper can be reached on 571.272.7605. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/709,437 Page 6

Art Unit: 2617

/VINCENT P. HARPER/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617

/Khai M Nguyen/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617

12/12/2008